

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/952,741		11/25/1997	YUJI HATADA	2173-106P 3031	
2292	7590	04/02/2002		•	
		KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 74 FALLS CH		A 22040-0747		SLOBODYANSKY, ELIZABETH	
			•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1652	1
				DATE MAILED: 04/02/2002	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	08/952,741	HATADA ET AL.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Elizabeth Slobodyansky	1652
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	(1) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the ma		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expired ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WITH 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The see that the been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the common timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 3	ire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE ACT OF THE	ng date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP FR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 31 May 2001. Ap 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR)		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	l because:	
(a)  they raise new issues that would require fur	rther consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Not	e below);	
<ul> <li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	n in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cand	celing a corresponding number of t	finally rejected claims.
NOTE:	, di , se se co	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s): <u>112. 2<sup>nd</sup>, of claims 2, 4-7, 1</u>	<u>4,16, 23, 24</u> .
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	uld be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	<b>/S:</b>	•
Claim(s) allowed: <u>2,5-7,12 and 13</u> .		•
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>3,4,15,16,20-24</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	proved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure States		
10. Other:	2	Stohodyousker

Elizabeth Slobodyansky Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1652